

TSIRLSON'S PROBLEM & CONNES' EMBEDDING PROBLEM

Tsirlson's Problem asks whether two different mathematical models, both representing two observers making measurements in an independent manner, are equivalent or not.

In Quantum Mechanics, a system is represented by a Hilbert space H , and a measurement z with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ possible outcomes is described by a collection of projections $P_1, \dots, P_m \in B(H)$ such that:

$$1) P_i \perp P_j \quad \forall i < j \leq m$$

$$2) \sum_{i=1}^m P_i = Id$$

[We also refer to this as a PVM, a Projective Valued Measure, seen as a probability measure over $\{1, \dots, m\}$ with projections as values.]

In operator algebraic form, this is easily represented by a unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Phi: \mathcal{C}_\infty^m \rightarrow B(H)$$

A physical state is represented by a state on $B(H)$. Given a state, the probability of a given outcome $k \leq m$, is computed via a (vector) state ω on $B(H)$ as

$$p(z|k) = \omega(P_k) (= \langle \xi, P_k \xi \rangle)$$

In the presence of two observers, the independence of the measurements is classically represented with commutation of the values of the corresponding PVMs.

NON-RELATIVISTIC QUANTUM MECHANICS

Observer A measures in system H_A the event $\{P_1, \dots, P_m\} \in B(H_A)$.

ALGEBRAIC QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

Observers A and B measure in the same system H with
1) k 2) l 3) m

Observer B measures in system H_B the event $\{Q_{j_1, \dots, j_m}\} \subseteq B(H_B)$.

For a vector state $\xi \in H_A \otimes H_B$, the probability of the event (i, j) is

$$x_{\xi}(i, j) = \langle \xi, (P_i \otimes Q_j) \xi \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

We consider the more general case where A and B make $d \in \mathbb{N}$ measurements, hence we have

$$\{P_i^k\}_{i \in m, k \in d} \subseteq B(H_A), \{Q_j^l\}_{j \in m, l \in d} \subseteq B(H_B)$$

The set of **quantum correlations** records all possible combinations of probabilities of these events

$$Q_{\otimes}(m, d) = \{x_{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{k^{m \times 2}} \mid \xi \in H_A \otimes H_B, \text{arbitrary } H_A \text{ and } H_B, \{P_i^k\} \subseteq B(H_A), \{Q_j^l\} \subseteq B(H_B)\}$$

$$\text{where } x_{\xi}(i, k; j, l) = \langle \xi, (P_i^k \otimes Q_j^l) \xi \rangle$$

$$\{P_i^k\}_{i \in m, k \in d}, \{Q_j^l\}_{j \in m, l \in d} \subseteq B(H)$$

$$\text{such that } [P_i^k, Q_j^l] = 0 \quad \forall i, j, k, l.$$

For a vector state $\xi \in H$, the probability of the event (i, j) is

$$\langle \xi, P_i^k Q_j^l \xi \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

and in this case the set of quantum correlations is

$$Q_c(m, d) = \{x_{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{k^{m \times 2}} \mid \xi \in H\} \quad \text{for arbitrary } H$$

$$\text{where } x_{\xi}(i, k; j, l) = \langle \xi, P_i^k Q_j^l \xi \rangle$$

Fact 1: $Q_c(m, d)$ is closed.

Pick $x_{\xi} = (a_{ij}^{kl})$, with $\xi_{\gamma} \in H_{\gamma}$ inducing it and let $a = (a_{ij}^{kl})$ be the limit as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.

Fix $U \in \beta \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, take the Hilbert space ultraproduct $H(U) = \prod_U H(\gamma)$

and $\xi_U = (\xi_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}}$, with projections $P_i^k(U) = (P_i^k(\gamma))$, $Q_j^l(U) = (Q_j^l(\gamma))$ will be s.t.

$$a_{ij}^{kl} = U\text{-lim } \langle \xi_{\gamma}, P_i^k(\gamma) Q_j^l(\gamma) \xi_{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \xi_U, P_i^k(U) Q_j^l(U) \xi_U \rangle$$

Fact 2: $\overline{Q_{\otimes}(m, d)} \subseteq Q_c(m, d)$

Tsirelson's Problem: Is $\overline{Q_{\otimes}(m, d)} = Q_c(m, d)$? (hard for $d > 2$)

Tsirelson's Problem has also a somewhat different interpretation.

Let $Q_s(m, d)$ be defined as $Q_c(m, d)$ but restricting to finite-dimensional H .

Then $\overline{Q_s(m, d)} = \overline{Q_{\otimes}(m, d)}$. [we prove this below]

How do we get from Kirchberg's Conjecture to Tsirelson's Problem?

$$\text{Denote } A(m, d) = C^*(\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} * \dots * \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}_{d \text{ times}}) \cong \ell_\infty^m * \dots * \ell_\infty^m$$

Proposition 1. Let F be a state on $A(m, d) \otimes_{\max} A(m, d)$, and let e_j^k be the j -th basic vector in the k -th copy of ℓ_∞^m in $A(m, d)$. Set $x_F(i, k, j, \ell) = F(e_i^k \otimes e_j^\ell)$, then

$$Q_c(m, d) = \{x_F \in \mathbb{R}^{\sim d^2} \mid F \text{ is a state on } A(m, d) \otimes_{\max} A(m, d)\}$$

Proposition 2. Let f be a state on $A(m, d) \otimes_{\min} A(m, d)$, and let e_j^k be the j -th basic vector in the k -th copy of ℓ_∞^m in $A(m, d)$. Set $x_f(i, k, j, \ell) = f(e_i^k \otimes e_j^\ell)$, then

$$\overline{Q_\otimes(m, d)} = \overline{Q_s(m, d)} = \{x_f \in \mathbb{R}^{\sim d^2} \mid f \text{ is a state on } A(m, d) \otimes_{\min} A(m, d)\} =: S$$

Proposition 3.

$$C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\min} C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\max} C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \Leftrightarrow A(m, d) \otimes_{\min} A(m, d) \cong A(m, d) \otimes_{\max} A(m, d)$$

Corollary [Fritz; Jung-Navares-Palazuelos-Pérez-García-Scholz-Werner]

Kirchberg's Conjecture $\Rightarrow$ Tsirelson's Problem.

[$\Leftarrow$ is also true and was proved by Ozawa]

Proof of Proposition 1.

Tuples $\{P_i^k\}_{i \in m, k \in d}, \{Q_j^\ell\}_{j \in m, \ell \in d}$ st. $[P_i^k, Q_j^\ell] = 0$ in $B(H)$ give unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\underline{\Phi}: A(m, d) \otimes_{\max} A(m, d) \rightarrow B(H)$.

$$e_i^k \otimes e_j^\ell \mapsto P_i^k Q_j^\ell$$

So $Q_c(m, d) \subseteq \{x_F \in \mathbb{R}^{\sim d^2} \mid F \text{ state on } A(m, d) \otimes_{\max} A(m, d)\}$ since every vector state on $B(H)$ induces a state on $A(m, d) \otimes_{\max} A(m, d)$, while $\supseteq$ can be proved using the GNS representation corresponding to the given state F . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2 Let S denote the right-hand side set in the statement

$\overline{Q_{\otimes}(m,d)} \subseteq S$ Every $x_{\xi} \in Q_{\otimes}(m,d)$, with $\xi \in H_A \otimes H_B$, $\{P_i^{e_i}\}_{i \in m, e_i \in d} \subseteq B(H_A)$ and $\{Q_j^{e_j}\}_{j \in m, e_j \in d} \subseteq B(H_B)$ naturally induces a representation

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: A(m,d) \otimes_{\min} A(m,d) &\rightarrow B(H_A \otimes H_B) \\ e_i^{e_i} \otimes e_j^{e_j} &\mapsto P_i^{e_i} \otimes Q_j^{e_j} \end{aligned}$$

so ξ gives rise to a state on $A(m,d) \otimes_{\min} A(m,d)$. Since S is closed (it is continuous image of the state space, which is compact), we have $\overline{Q_{\otimes}(m,d)} \subseteq S$.

$\overline{Q_S(m,d)} \subseteq \overline{Q_{\otimes}(m,d)}$ Let H be fin. dim, $\xi \in H$, $\{P_i^{e_i}\}_{i \in m, e_i \in d}, \{Q_j^{e_j}\}_{j \in m, e_j \in d} \subseteq B(H)$

This gives a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi: A(m,d) \otimes_{\text{alg}} A(m,d) \rightarrow B(H)$ onto $C^*(A,B)$, with $A = C^*(\{P_i^{e_i}\}_{i \in m, e_i \in d})$ and $B = C^*(\{Q_j^{e_j}\}_{j \in m, e_j \in d})$. A and B are fin. dim, hence $A \otimes_{\max} B = A \otimes_{\min} B \subseteq B(H \otimes H)$. The state induced by ξ on $A \otimes_{\min} B$ extends to a state ψ on $B(H \otimes H)$, hence there are $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in H \otimes H$ and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \geq 0$ with $\sum \omega_k = 1$ s.t.

$$\psi(x \otimes y) = \sum_{k=1}^m \omega_k \langle \xi_k, (x \otimes y) \xi_k \rangle$$

We conclude with a standard multiplicity trick: let $K = \ell_2^m \otimes (H \otimes H)$ and consider the vector $\xi' = \sum_k \sqrt{\omega_k} e_k \otimes \xi_k \in K$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x \otimes y) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \langle \sqrt{\omega_k} \xi_k, (x \otimes y) \sqrt{\omega_k} \xi_k \rangle = \\ &= \langle \sum \sqrt{\omega_k} e_k \otimes \xi_k, (1 \otimes (x \otimes y)) \sum \sqrt{\omega_k} e_k \otimes \xi_k \rangle = \langle \xi', (x' \otimes y') \xi' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $x' = 1 \otimes x$ and $y' = y$ □

We need some more lemmas to prove $S \subseteq \overline{Q_S(m,d)}$.

Def.

Given two C^* -algebras A_1, A_2 , a linear functional φ on $A_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} A_2 \subseteq B(H)$ comes from a matrixial vector state if there are $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and φ maps $u_i: A_i \rightarrow M_{n_i}$ and a vector state ψ on $M_{n_1} \otimes_{\min} M_{n_2}$ s.t.

$$\varphi(x) = \psi(u_1 \otimes u_2(x)) \quad \forall x \in A_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} A_2$$

Lemma 1.

Let $A_j \subseteq B(H_j)$ be unital C^* -algebras, for $j=1,2$. Let $\varphi: A_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} A_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a linear form s.t. $\varphi(1 \otimes 1) = 1$. TFAE

- 1) φ extends to a state on $A_1 \otimes_{\text{min}} A_2$
- 2) φ is pointwise limit on $A_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} A_2$ of a net of functionals that come from a matricial vector state.

Lemma 2.

Let $(Q_j)_{j \in m}$ be a PVM on a Hilbert space $\hat{H}$, and let $H \subseteq \hat{H}$ be fin. dim. There is a fin. dim k_0 with $H \subseteq k_0 \subseteq \hat{H}$ s.t. $\forall$ fin. dim k with $k_0 \subseteq k \subseteq \hat{H}$ there exists a PVM $(Q'_j)_{j \in m}$ on k s.t. $P_H Q'_j|_H = P_H Q_j|_H \quad \forall j \in m$.

Proof.

Set $E_j = Q_j(H)$. Then P_{E_j} and Q_j commute and they are the same on H . Take $k_0 = E_1 + \dots + E_m$, and suppose $k_0 \subseteq k \subseteq \hat{H}$. [note that $k_0 \geq H$ since $\sum Q_j = 1$]

Let $Q'_j = P_{E_j} P_k$ for every $j \in m$ and let $Q'_m = P_{E_m} P_k + (\text{Id}_k - (\sum_{j=1}^m Q'_j))$.

Given $\xi \in H$ we get $Q'_j \xi = P_{E_j} \xi = Q_j \xi$ if $j \in m$ and

$$Q'_m \xi \in Q_m \xi + k \ominus k_0 \subseteq Q_m \xi + H^\perp, \text{ as desired. } \square$$

Let $E(m,d) \subset A(m,d)$ be the linear subspace of all sums $x_1 + \dots + x_d$ where x_j belongs to the j -th copy of ℓ_∞^m in $A(m,d)$.

Lemma 3.

Let H_1, H_2 be finite dimensional and $u: A(m,d) \rightarrow B(H_1), v: A(m,d) \rightarrow B(H_2)$ be n -cp maps. There are fin. dim k_1, k_2 with $k_i \geq H_i$ and n -homomorphisms $\pi_i: A(m,d) \rightarrow B(k_i)$ s.t.

$$\forall x \in E(m,d) \otimes_{\text{alg}} E(m,d) \quad (u \otimes v)(x) = P_{H_1 \otimes H_2} (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(x)|_{H_1 \otimes H_2}$$

Proof.

By Stinespring's theorem we can find $H_1, \hat{H}_2$ and unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\hat{\pi}_i: A(m, d) \rightarrow B(\hat{H}_i)$ $i=1,2$ satisfying the statement of the lemma.

Let P^u (and Q^e) be the PVMs corresponding to the k -th (e-th) copy of e_m^u in $A(m, d)$, obtained through $\hat{\pi}_1, (\hat{\pi}_2)$. By applying multiple times Lemma 2, we can find fin. dim K_1, K_2 with $H_i \subseteq K_i \subseteq \hat{H}_i$ and $(\tilde{P}_i^u)_{i \in m}, (\tilde{Q}_i^e)_{i \in m}$ s.t.

$$P_{H_1 \otimes H_2} \cdot (\tilde{P}_i^u \otimes \tilde{Q}_i^e)_{|H_1 \otimes H_2} = P_{H_1 \otimes H_2} \cdot (P_i^u \otimes Q_i^e)_{|H_1 \otimes H_2}$$

Then π_1, π_2 are obtained by mapping $A(m, d)$ to the PVMs $(\hat{P}_i^u)_{i \in m, u \in d}$ and $(\tilde{Q}_i^e)_{i \in m, e \in d}$. $\square$

Back to the Proof of Proposition 2

$S \subseteq \overline{Q_S(m, d)}$. Take a state f on $A(m, d) \otimes_{\min} A(m, d)$. By Lemma 1 it is pointwise limit of a net of states $(F_\gamma)_\gamma$ with

$$F_\gamma(x \otimes y) = \psi_\gamma(u_1^\gamma(x) \otimes u_2^\gamma(y))$$

where ψ_γ is a vector state on $B(H_1^\gamma \otimes H_2^\gamma)$, H_1^γ, H_2^γ are finite dimensional and $u_i^\gamma: A(m, d) \rightarrow B(H_i^\gamma)$ are ucp maps for $i=1,2$.

By Lemma 3 there are K_1^γ, K_2^γ fin. dim and $*$ -homomorphisms $\pi_i^\gamma: A(m, d) \rightarrow B(K_i^\gamma)$ s.t. $\forall x, y \in E(m, d)$ we have $u_1^\gamma(x) \otimes u_2^\gamma(y) = P_{H_1^\gamma \otimes H_2^\gamma} (\pi_1^\gamma(x) \otimes \pi_2^\gamma(y))_{|H_1^\gamma \otimes H_2^\gamma}$

But this gives the desired conclusion since x_γ is approximated by x_γ for $\xi \in H_1^\gamma \otimes H_2^\gamma \subseteq K_1^\gamma \otimes K_2^\gamma$ and the PVMs obtained from π_1 and π_2 . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.

This proof was discussed last time and can be found in the notes of Lecture 8. ($\Rightarrow$) in a nutshell:

$A(m, d)$ has LLP since $C^*(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ has LLP and since LLP is

preserved by free products.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) &\cong \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \text{ has WEP} + A(m,d) \text{ has LLP} \\
 &\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\max} A(m,d) \cong \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\min} A(m,d) \\
 &\Rightarrow A(m,d) \text{ has WEP} + \text{LLP} \\
 &\Rightarrow A(m,d) \otimes_{\min} A(m,d) \cong A(m,d) \otimes_{\max} A(m,d)
 \end{aligned}$$

For ($\Leftarrow$), note that when $d \geq 2$ or $m \geq 2$ then $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^{*d}$, hence we have, assuming $A(m,d) \otimes_{\min} A(m,d) \cong A(m,d) \otimes_{\max} A(m,d)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \\
 \downarrow \text{injective} & & \downarrow \text{injective} \\
 \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{Z}_m^{*d}) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{Z}_m^{*d}) \cong \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{Z}_m^{*d} \times \mathbb{Z}_m^{*d}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{Z}_m^{*d}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{Z}_m^{*d})
 \end{array}$$

Since bottom, left and right arrows are injective, so is the top one. $\square$

Proving that Tsirelson's Problem $\Rightarrow$ Kirchberg Conjecture requires some extra work.

In particular, $\overline{Q_s(m,d)} = Q_c(m,d)$ implies that min and max norm coincide on the subspace $\{T+T^* \mid T \in E(m,d) \otimes_{\text{alg}} E(m,d)\}$. This relates to $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_d) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_d)$, since it implies that min and max norm coincide on $\{T+T^* \mid T \in E(d) \otimes_{\text{alg}} E(d)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_d) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_d)$, where $E(d)$ is the linear span of the d free unitaries generating $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_d)$. If this holds for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds for $d = \infty$ as well, and Ozawa showed that this entails that min and max norms are the same on $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$.